arXiv:math/0006005v1 [math.QA] 1 Jun 2000

Vertex operator algebras, generalized doubles and dual pairs

Chongying Dong[1] and Gaywalee Yamskulna[2]
Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064

Abstract

Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra and G a finite automorphism group. Then there is a natural right G-action on the set of all inequivalent irreducible V-modules. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite set of inequivalent irreducible V-modules which is closed under the action of G. There is a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ for a suitable 2-cocycle naturally determined by the G-action on $\mathcal{S}$ such that $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ and the vertex operator algebra V^G form a dual pair on the sum of V-modules in $\mathcal{S}$ in the sense of Howe. In particular, every irreducible V-module is completely reducible V^G-module.

1 Introduction

Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra (see [B], [FLM]), and G a finite automorphism group. A major problem in orbifold conformal field theory is to understand the module category for the vertex operator algebra V^G of G-invariants. In the case V is holomorphic, this is related to the quantum double (see [DPR] and [DM5]). The main feature in the study of orbifold theory is the appearance of dual pairs. It is proved in [DLM1] and [DM2] that G and V^G form a dual pair in the sense of Howe [H1]-[H2]. More precisely, it is shown in [DLM1] that all the irreducible G-modules occur in V and the space of multiplicity of each irreducible G-module in V is an irreducible V^G-module. Moreover, inequivalent irreducible G-modules produce inequivalent V^G-modules in this way.

In this paper we extend the duality result in [DLM1] to any irreducible V-module and obtain again several duality theorems of Schur-Weyl type. In the process we realize that it is better to consider a finite set of inequivalent irreducible modules which is G-stable instead of one single module. The general setting is more natural and the results are more beautiful.

---

[1] Supported by NSF grant DMS-9700923 and a research grant from the Committee on Research, UC Santa Cruz.

[2] Supported by DPST grant.

More explicitly, for an irreducible V-module $M = (M, Y_M)$ (see Section 4 for the details of the definition of a module) and $g \in G$ we define a new irreducible V-module $M \circ g = (M \circ g, Y_{M \circ g})$. Here $M \circ g$ is equal to M as a vector space and $Y_{M\circ g}(v, z) = Y_M(gv, z)$ for $v \in V$ following [DM1]. A set $\mathcal{S}$ of irreducible V-modules is called G-stable if for any $M \in S$ and $g \in G$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $M \circ g \cong N$. Then every irreducible V-module M produces naturally such a set by collecting all $M \circ g$ for $g \in G$. Now we take a finite G-stable set $\mathcal{S}$ consisting of inequivalent irreducible V-modules. We construct a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ for a suitable 2-cocycle on G (which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by V, G and $\mathcal{S}$) such that $(A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S}), V^G)$ forms a dual pair on $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} M$ in the precise sense of Howe [H1]-[H2]. That is, each simple $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ occurs in $\mathcal{M}$ and its multiplicity space is an irreducible V^G-module. Moreover, the different multiplicity spaces are inequivalent V^G-modules.

These duality results not only tell us the complete reducibility of every irreducible V-module as a V^G-module, but also provide an equivalence between the $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$-module category and a subcategory of V^G-modules generated by the irreducible submodules of $\mathcal{M}$ by sending each simple $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$-module to its multiplicity space. This kind of idea has appeared in [DPR] and [DM5] in the study of holomorphic orbifold conformal field theory.

Although the algebra $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ mentioned above appears naturally in the theory of vertex operator algebras, the construction itself is totally canonical and abstract. In fact, one can define $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ for any finite group G, any finite right G-set $\mathcal{S}$ and a suitable 2-cocycle on G (see Section 3). This algebra is essentially the crossed product in the theory of Hopf algebra (see [S], [BCM] and [DT]). It turns out that $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is the right algebra in the study of general orbifold theory. In the case that $\mathcal{S}$ is the dual basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]^*$, $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is exactly the twisted double $D_\alpha(G)$ introduced in [M] and [DM5] in the study of holomorphic orbifold theory. The twisted double $D_\alpha(G)$ is conjecturally isomorphic to the twisted quantum double introduced in [DPR] and [D].

A main tool in the proof of the main theorems is a series of associative algebras $A_n(V)$ constructed in [DLM4] for nonnegative integers n. The original motivation for introducing and studying the $A_n(V)$ comes from the representation theory of vertex operator algebras. Let $M = \sum_{n\geq 0} M(n)$ be an admissible V-module with $M(0) \neq 0$ (see Section 4 for the definition of admissible module). The algebra $A_n(V)$ is a suitable quotient of V and "takes care" of the first $n + 1$ pieces of M: each $M(m)$ is a module for $A_n(V)$. In the case $n = 0$, $A_0(V)$ reduces to the associative algebra $A(V)$ introduced previously in [Z]. The main results concerning $A_n(V)$ are summarized in Section 4. The result that we often use in this paper is the following: M is irreducible if and only if each $M(n)$ is a simple $A_n(V)$-module for all $n \geq 0$ [DM4]. This key result allows us to reduce an infinite dimensional problem (M is infinite dimensional) to a finite dimensional problem (each $M(n)$ is finite dimensional in our main theorems).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review twisted group algebras. Section 3 is about the algebra $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ based on a finite group G, a right G-set $\mathcal{S}$ and a suitable 2-cocycle α on G. We construct all simple modules for $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ explicitly by using twisted group algebras. A basis for the center is also given for the future study. In Section 4 we recall the various notions of modules for a vertex operator algebra and review the theory on associative algebras $A_n(V)$. Section 5 is the first part on duality. We show that if M is an irreducible V-module which is G-stable in the sense that $M \circ g \cong M$ for all g, then there exists a 2-cocycle $\alpha_M \in Z^2(G, \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that $(\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G], V^G)$ forms a dual pair on M where $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ is the twisted group algebra. Section 6 is a continuation of Section 5 without assuming that M is G-stable. The algebra $A_\alpha(G, S)$ based on a G-stable set $\mathcal{S}$ of V-modules enters the picture naturally. The two main theorems of this paper are proved in this section.

We would like to thank A. Wassermann for pointing out a gap in the proof of Theorem 2.4 (i) of [DLM1]. This gap has been filled in this paper (see Remark 5.2).

2 Twisted group algebras

In this elementary section we review some results on twisted group algebras which will be used in Section 3.

Throughout this paper, F^* denotes the multiplicative group of a field F and G a finite group which acts trivially on F^*. Let α be any element in $Z^2(G, F^*)$. Thus α is a map

$$\alpha : G \times G \to F^*$$

which satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha(x, 1) = \alpha(1, x) = 1 \quad for \quad all \quad x \in G \\ &\alpha(x, y)\alpha(xy, z) = \alpha(y, z)\alpha(x, yz) \quad for \quad all \quad x, y, z \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.1 *The* twisted group algebra $F^\alpha[G]$ *of* G *over* F *is defined to be the vector space over* F *with basis* $\{\bar{g} | g \in G\}$. *Multiplication in* $F^\alpha[G]$ *is defined distributively by using*

$$(a\bar{x})(b\bar{y}) = ab\alpha(x, y)\overline{xy} \quad (a, b \in F, x, y \in G).$$

It is easy to verify that $F^{\alpha}[G]$ is an F-algebra with $\bar{1}$ as the identity element and with

$$\bar{g}^{-1} = \alpha(g^{-1}, g)^{-1}\overline{g^{-1}} = \alpha(g, g^{-1})^{-1}\overline{g^{-1}} \ \ \textit{for} \ \ \textit{all} \ \ g \in G.$$

Lemma 2.2 *[K] If char F does not divide $|G|$, then $F^{\alpha}[G]$ is semisimple.*

Our aim for this section is to find an explicit basis for the center $Z(F^{\alpha}[G])$.

Definition 2.3 *An element $g \in G$ is said to be $\alpha-$ regular if*

$$\alpha(g, x) = \alpha(x, g) \ \ \textit{for} \ \ \textit{all} \ \ x \in C_G(g).$$

Thus g is α-regular if and only if $\bar{g}\bar{x} = \bar{x}\bar{g}$ for all $x \in C_G(g)$.

It is clear that identity element of G is α-regular for any cocycle α.

Lemma 2.4 *[K] For any $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$, the following properties hold:*

i) An element $g \in G$ is α-regular if and only if it is β-regular for any cocycle β cohomologous to α.

ii) If $g \in G$ is α-regular, then so is any conjugate of g.

Definition 2.5 *Let C be a conjugacy class of G and let $g \in C$. We say that C is α-*regular *if g is α-regular.*

Remark 2.6 *If we replace $\bar{g}$ by $\tilde{g} = \lambda(g)\bar{g}, \lambda(g) \in F^*, \lambda(1) = 1,$ yields*

$$\tilde{x}\tilde{y} = \alpha(x, y)\lambda(x)\lambda(y)\lambda(xy)^{-1}\widetilde{xy} \ \ \textit{for} \ \ \textit{all} \ \ x, y \in G.$$

Hence, performing a diagonal change of basis $\{\bar{g}|g \in G\}$ results in replacing α by a cohomologous cocycle. The advantage of this observation is that in choosing a distinguished cocycle cohomologous to α, we can work exclusively within the algebra $F^{\alpha}[G]$.

Definition 2.7 *We say that $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ is a* normal cocycle *if $\alpha(x, g) = \alpha(xgx^{-1}, x)$ for all $x \in G$ and all α-regular $g \in G$.*

Therefore α is normal if and only if $\bar{x}\bar{g}\bar{x}^{-1} = \overline{xgx^{-1}}$ for all $x \in G$ and all α-regular $g \in G$.

Lemma 2.8 *[K] Let $\{g_1, ..., g_r\}$ be a full set of representatives for the α-regular conjugacy classes of G and, for each $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$, let T_i be a left transversal for $C_G(g_i)$ in G. Put $\widetilde{tg_it^{-1}} = \bar{t}\overline{g_i}\bar{t}^{-1}, 1 \leq i \leq r$, and $\tilde{g} = \bar{g}$ if g is not α-regular . Then $\tilde{x}\tilde{g}\tilde{x}^{-1} = \widetilde{xgx^{-1}}$ for all $x \in G$ and all α-regular $g \in G$. Thus any cocycle $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ is cohomologous to a normal cocycle.*

Theorem 2.9 *[K] Let F be an arbitrary field, let $\alpha \in Z^2(G, F^*)$ and let $\{g_1, ..., g_r\}$ be a full set of representatives for the α-regular conjugacy classes of G. Denote by T_i a left transversal for $C_G(g_i)$ in G and by C_i the α-regular conjugacy class of G containing $g_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the elements*

$$z_i = \sum_{t \in T_i} \bar{t}\overline{g_i}\bar{t}^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

constitute an F-basis $Z(F^\alpha[G])$. In particular, if α is a normal cocycle, then the elements

$$z_i = \sum_{g \in C_i} \bar{g} \quad (1 \leq i \leq r)$$

constitute an F-basis $Z(F^\alpha[G])$.

3 The Generalized twisted double

In this section we construct a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $A_\alpha(G, S)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ associated to a finite group G, a finite right G-set $\mathcal{S}$ and a suitable 2-cocycle α. Although this construction in the present form is influenced by the work in [DPR], [M] and [DM5] and Section 6 of this paper, its origin goes back to the earlier work of [S], [BCM] and [DT] in Hopf algebra, where it is called crossed product. In the case the G-set $\mathcal{S}$ consists of a dual basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]^*$, $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is exactly the twisted double studied in [M] and [DM5]. This should explain why we call $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ a generalized twisted double. The exposition of this section follows [M] closely and ideas are also similar.

Let G be any finite group with identity 1 and $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite right G-set. Set

$$\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{C}e(s)$$

which is an associative algebra under product $e(s)e(t) = \delta_{s,t}e(t)$ and isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{|S|}$. The right action of G on $\mathcal{S}$ induces a right G-module structure on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$. Let

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}) = \{\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_s e(s) | \lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$$

be the set of units of $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$. It is easy to check that $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})$ is a multiplicative right G-module.

From now on we will fix an element $\alpha \in Z^2(G, \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}))$ such that $\alpha(h,1) = \alpha(1,h) = \sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}} e(s)$ for all h in G. Then α defines functions $\alpha_s : G \times G \to \mathbb{C}^*$ for $s \in \mathcal{S}$ such that

$$\alpha(h,k) = \sum_{s\in S} \alpha_s(h,k)e(s)$$

for $h, k \in G$. The cocycle condition $\alpha(hk,l)\alpha(h,k)^l = \alpha(h,kl)\alpha(k,l)$ implies immediately that

$$\alpha_s(hk,l)\alpha_{(s)l^{-1}}(h,k) = \alpha_s(h,kl)\alpha_s(k,l)$$

for $h, k, l \in G$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

The main object that we study in this section is the vector space $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S}) = \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$ with a basis $g \otimes e(s)$ for $g \in G$, and $s \in \mathcal{S}$.

Proposition 3.1 *i) The $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ is an associative algebra under multiplication defined by*

$$g \otimes e(s) \cdot h \otimes e(t) = \alpha_t(g,h)gh \otimes e(sh)e(t).$$

ii) If α is cohomologous to β then $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S}) \cong \mathcal{A}_\beta(G,\mathcal{S})$ as algebras.

Proof: For i), we first prove that the product is associative. Let $g, h, k \in G$ and $s, t, u \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(g \otimes e(s) \cdot h \otimes e(t)) \cdot k \otimes e(u) \\
&= (\alpha_t(g,h)gh \otimes e(sh)e(t)) \cdot k \otimes e(u) \\
&= \delta_{sh,t}\alpha_t(g,h)gh \otimes e(t) \cdot k \otimes e(u) \\
&= \delta_{sh,t}\alpha_t(g,h)\alpha_u(gh,k)ghk \otimes e(tk)e(u) \\
&= \delta_{sh,t}\delta_{tk,u}\alpha_t(g,h)\alpha_u(gh,k)ghk \otimes e(u) \\
&= \delta_{sh,t}\delta_{tk,u}\alpha_{uk^{-1}}(g,h)\alpha_u(gh,k)ghk \otimes e(u) \\
&= \delta_{sh,t}\delta_{tk,u}\alpha_u(g,hk)\alpha_u(h,k)ghk \otimes e(u)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&g \otimes e(s) \cdot (h \otimes e(t) \cdot k \otimes e(u)) \\
&= g \otimes e(s) \cdot (\alpha_u(h,k)hk \otimes e(tk)e(u)) \\
&= g \otimes e(s) \cdot (\delta_{tk,u}\alpha_u(h,k)hk \otimes e(u)) \\
&= \delta_{tk,u}\alpha_u(g,hk)\alpha_u(h,k)ghk \otimes e(s(hk))e(u) \\
&= \delta_{tk,u}\delta_{s(hk),u}\alpha_u(g,hk)\alpha_u(h,k)ghk \otimes e(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(g\otimes e(s)\cdot h\otimes e(t))\cdot k\otimes e(u) = g\otimes e(s)\cdot (h\otimes e(t)\cdot k\otimes e(u))$ and associativity holds.

A straightforward verification

$$\begin{aligned} & g\otimes e(s)\cdot \sum_{t\in\mathcal{S}} 1\otimes e(t) \\ = & \sum_{t\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_t(g,1) g\otimes e(s)e(t) \\ = & \, g\otimes e(s) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\sum_{t\in\mathcal{S}} 1\otimes e(t))\cdot g\otimes e(s) \\ = & \sum_{t\in\mathcal{S}} \alpha_s(1,g) g\otimes e(tg)e(s) \\ = & \, g\otimes e(s) \end{aligned}$$

shows that $\sum_{t\in\mathcal{S}} 1\otimes e(t)$ is an identity on $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$.

For ii) since β is cohomologous to α, so there exists a map $\lambda : G\to \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})$ such that $\beta(x,y)=\alpha(x,y)\lambda(x)^y\lambda(xy)^{-1}\lambda(y)$ for all $x,y\in G$. For each $x\in G$, we can rewrite $\lambda(x)$ as $\sum_{s\in\mathcal{S}}\lambda_s(x)e(s)$. Therefore, we have $\beta_s(x,y)=\alpha_s(x,y)\lambda_{sy^{-1}}(x)\lambda_s(xy)^{-1}\lambda_s(y)$, for any $s\in\mathcal{S}, x,y\in G$. Let $f:\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})\to\mathcal{A}_\beta(G,\mathcal{S})$ defined by $f(g\otimes e(s))=\lambda_s(g)^{-1}(g\otimes e(s))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & f(g\otimes e(s)\cdot h\otimes e(t)) \\ = & \, f(\alpha_t(g,h)gh\otimes e(sh)e(t)) \\ = & \, \delta_{sh,t}\lambda_t(gh)^{-1}\alpha_t(g,h)gh\otimes e(t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(g\otimes e(s))\cdot f(h\otimes e(t)) \\ = & \, \lambda_s(g)^{-1}g\otimes e(s)\cdot \lambda_t(h)^{-1}h\otimes e(t) \\ = & \, \lambda_s(g)^{-1}\lambda_t(h)^{-1}\beta_t(g,h)gh\otimes e(sh)e(t), \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}_\beta(G,\mathcal{S})$ are isomorphic as algebras. □

Remark 3.2 The algebra $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ is essentially the crossed product $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}\sharp\mathbb{C}[G]$ except that $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$ in our setting is a right $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module instead of left $\mathbb{C}[G]$-module in the setting of crossed product. So one could have used the results from [S], [BCM] and [DT] to conclude that $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ is an associative algebra after a careful identifying two different settings. Since the proof is not very long we chose to give a complete proof.

Remark 3.3 If we take $\mathcal{S} = \{e(g)|g \in G\}$ to be the dual basis of $\mathbb{C}[G]^*$ which is a right G-set via $e(g) \cdot h = e(h^{-1}gh)$ for $g, h \in G$ we get the associative algebra $D_\alpha(G)$ in [DM5] which is a deformation of the Drinfeld's double $D(G)$. It turns out that there exists α determined naturally by the "twisted representations" such that $D_\alpha(G)$ is the right algebra in the study of holomorphic orbifold conformal field theory (see [DVVV] and [DM5]).

For each $s \in \mathcal{S}$, let $G_s = \{h \in G|s \cdot h = s\}$ be the stabilizer of s. Note that $Res^G_{G_s}\alpha_s$ is in $Z^2(G_s, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_s$ be the orbit of s under G and $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_s g_i$ be a right coset decomposition with $g_1 = 1$. Then $\mathcal{O}_s = \{sg_i|i = 1, ..., k\}$ and $G_{s\cdot g_i} = g_i^{-1}G_s g_i$. We define several subspaces of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$:

$$S(s) = \langle a \otimes e(s)|a \in G_s\rangle \quad , \quad N(s) = \langle a \otimes e(s)|a \in G \setminus G_s\rangle,$$

$$D(s) = \langle a \otimes e(s)|a \in G\rangle \quad , \quad D(\mathcal{O}_s) = \langle a \otimes e(s \cdot g_i)|i = 1, ..., k, a \in G\rangle.$$

Then $D(s) = S(s) \oplus N(s)$.

Decompose $\mathcal{S}$ into a disjoint union of orbits $\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{j\in J} \mathcal{O}_j$. Let s_j be a representative element of $\mathcal{O}_j$. Then $\mathcal{O}_j = \{s_j \cdot h|h \in G\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S}) = \bigoplus_{j\in J} D(\mathcal{O}_{s_j})$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and $G = \cup_{i=1}^k G_s g_i$. Then*

1) $S(s)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$ where $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$ is the twisted group algebra.

2) $N(s)$ is a 2-sided nilpotent ideal of $D(s)$ and $D(s) \cdot N(s) = 0$.

3) $D(\mathcal{O}_s) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k D(sg_i)$ is a direct sum of left ideals.

4) Each $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$ is a 2-sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S}) = \oplus_{j\in J} D(\mathcal{O}_{s_j})$. Moreover, $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$ has identity element $\sum_{t\in\mathcal{O}_s} 1 \otimes e(t)$.

Proof: 1) Clearly, $S(s)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$. Let $\rho : S(s) \to \mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$ be a linear isomorphism determined by $\rho(a \otimes e(s)) = a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(a \otimes e(s) \cdot b \otimes e(s)) \\
&= \rho(\alpha_s(a, b)ab \otimes e(sb)e(s)) \\
&= \rho(\alpha_s(a, b)ab \otimes e(s)) \\
&= \alpha_s(a, b)ab \\
&= \rho(a \otimes e(s))\rho(b \otimes e(s)).
\end{aligned}$$

So, ρ is an algebra isomorphism.

2) Since for $b \in G \setminus G_s$, $sb \neq s$. We immediately have $a \otimes e(s) \cdot b \otimes e(s) = \alpha_s(a,b)ab \otimes e((s)b)e(s) = 0$ for $a \otimes e(s) \in D(s)$ and $b \otimes e(s) \in N(s)$.

It remains to show that $N(s)$ is right ideal. Take $a \otimes e(s) \in N(s)$ and $b \otimes e(s) \in S(s)$. Then $a \otimes e(s) \cdot b \otimes e(s) = \alpha_s(a,b)ab \otimes e((s)b)e(s) = \alpha_s(a,b)ab \otimes e(s)$. If ab lies in G_s so does a. Thus $ab \in G \setminus G_s$ and $a \otimes e(s) \cdot b \otimes e(s) \in N(s)$.

3)-4) are clear. $\square$

Let A be an algebra (eg., associative algebra, Lie algebra or vertex operator algebra). We denote the module category of A by A-Mod.

For convenience we set $d_{g,s} = g \otimes e(s)$ for $g \in G$ and $s \in S$.

Theorem 3.5 *The functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \overset{f}{\mapsto} & D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} M \\ N & \overset{g}{\mapsto} & d_{1,s}N \end{array}$$

($M \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-Mod, $N \in D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-Mod) define an equivalence between categories $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-Mod and $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-Mod. In particular, the simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-modules are mapped to simple $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-modules and conversely.

Proof: Recall that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k G_s g_i$ be a coset decomposition with $g_1 = 1$. Then $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^k g_i^{-1} G_s$. Note that $g_i^{-1} a \otimes e(s)$ can be rewritten as $\alpha_s(g_i^{-1}, a)^{-1} g_i^{-1} \otimes e(s) \cdot a \otimes e(s)$ for any i and $a \in G_s$. Let $M \in \mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-Mod. Then an arbitrary vector in $D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} M$ has expression $\sum_{i=1}^k d_{g_i^{-1},s} \otimes_{S(s)} m_i$ for some $m_i \in M$. From

$$d_{1,s}(\sum_{i=1}^k d_{g_i^{-1},s} \otimes_{S(s)} m_i) = d_{1,s} \otimes_{S(s)} m_1$$

we see that $d_{1,s} D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} M$ is a subset of $d_{1,s} \otimes_{S(s)} M$. On the other hand, $d_{1,s} \otimes_{S(s)} m = d_{1,s}(d_{1,s} \otimes_{S(s)} m)$ belongs to $d_{1,s}(D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} M)$. Thus $d_{1,s} \otimes_{S(s)} M$ and $d_{1,s}(D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} M)$ are equal. Since $d_{1,s} \bigotimes_{S(s)} M$ is isomorphic to M as $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-modules . This implies that $g \circ f(M)$ and M are isomorphic as $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-modules.

Now take $N \in D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-Mod. We are going to show that $D(s) \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}N$ and N are isomorphic as $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-modules. For this purpose we define a linear map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho : D(s) \bigotimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}N & \to & N \\ d_{b,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n & \mapsto & d_{b,s}n. \end{array}$$

Noting that $\rho(d_{b,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) = d_{b,s}d_{1,s}n$ we immediately see that ρ is well defined. The fact that ρ is a $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-module homomorphism follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho(d_{a,sg_i}d_{b,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) \\ &= \rho(\delta_{sg_ib,s}\alpha_s(a,b)d_{ab,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) \\ &= \delta_{sg_ib,s}\alpha_s(a,b)d_{ab,s}n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{a,sg_i}\rho(d_{b,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) \\ &= d_{a,sg_i}d_{b,s}n \\ &= \delta_{sg_ib,s}\alpha_s(a,b)d_{ab,s}n \end{aligned}$$

for $a, b \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $n \in N$.

It remains to show that ρ is a bijection. As $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{1,sg_i}$ is the identity of $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$, we have $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{1,sg_i}n$. For fixed i one can easily see that

$$\rho(d_{g_i^{-1},s} \otimes_{S(s)} \alpha_{sg_i}(g_i^{-1}, g_i)^{-1}d_{g_i,sg_i}n) = d_{1,sg_i}n.$$

Thus ρ is onto.

Since $d_{1,sg_i}d_{1,sg_j} = \delta_{i,j}d_{1,sg_i}$ we have

$$N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k} d_{1,sg_i}N.$$

Let $b = g_i^{-1}a \in g_i^{-1}G_s$. Then $\rho(d_{b,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) = d_{1,sg_i}d_{b,s}n \in d_{1,sg_i}N$ for $n \in N$. In order to prove that ρ is one to one, it is enough to show that if $\rho(\sum_p d_{b_p,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n_p) = 0$ for some $b_p \in g_i^{-1}G_s$ and $n_p \in N$, then $\sum_p d_{b_p,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n_p = 0$. Using the relation $d_{g_i^{-1}a,s} = \alpha_s(g_i^{-1}, a)^{-1}d_{g_i^{-1},s}d_{a,s}$ for $a \in G_s$ we can rewrite $\sum_p d_{b_p,s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n_p$ as $d_{g_i^{-1},s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n$ for some $n \in N$. Thus $\rho(d_{g_i^{-1},s} \otimes_{S(s)} d_{1,s}n) = d_{g_i^{-1},s}n = 0$. Applying $\alpha_s(g_i, g_i^{-1})^{-1}d_{g_i,sg_i}$ to $d_{g_i^{-1},s}n$ yields $d_{1,s}n = 0$. This shows that ρ is one to one. This completes the proof that ρ is an isomorphism. □

Theorem 3.6 *We have*

i) Algebra $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$ is semisimple for $s \in \mathcal{S}$ and simple $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$-modules are precisely $\mathrm{Ind}_{S(s)}^{D(s)}M$ where M ranges over the simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-modules.

ii) $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is semisimple and simple $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$-modules are precisely $\mathrm{Ind}_{S(s_j)}^{D(s_j)}M$ where M ranges over the simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{s_j}}[G_{s_j}]$-modules and $j \in J$.

Proof: i) follows from Theorem 3.5 and that fact that $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-Mod is a semisimple category. ii) follows from Lemma 3.4 and i). $\square$

Remark 3.7 *If we only wanted to know the semisimplicity of* $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$*, we could find it in the literature of Hopf algebra when we regard* $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ *as a crossed product (see Remark 3.2). But in the later sections we need to know the explicit structure of simple* $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$*-modules given in Theorem 3.5. The semisimplicity of* $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ *is a trivial corollary of Theorem 3.5.*

Next we determine the center $Z(D(\mathcal{O}_s))$ of $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$. However, we are not going to use it in this paper but still include it for general interest. We certainly expect that the result on center will be used in our future study on general orbifold conformal field theory.

Since any cocycle in $Z^2(G_s, \mathbb{C}^*)$ is cohomologous to a normal cocycle (cf. Lemma 2.8). Then we may *assume* α_s *is a normal cocycle* for all $s \in S$. We also assume that $\alpha_{sg_i}(g_j^{-1}hg_i, g_i^{-1}ag_i) = \alpha_{sg_i}(g_j^{-1}hah^{-1}g_j, g_j^{-1}hg_i)$ for all $1 \le i, j \le k$, $h \in G_s$ and α_s-regular $a \in G_s$. In the orbifold theory, these conditions are satisfied by chosing α_s carefully.

Let $\{l_1, ..., l_r\}$ be a full set of representatives for the α_s-regular conjugacy classes of G_s and for each t in $\{1, ..., r\}$, let L_t be α_s-regular conjugacy class of G_s containing $l_t, 1 \le t \le r$. Set

$$Z(L_t) = \sum_{a \in L_t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i^{-1} a g_i \otimes e(sg_i).$$

Lemma 3.8 $Z(L_t)$ *is an center element of* $D(\mathcal{O}_s)$*.*

Proof: Let $b \otimes e(sg_j) \in D(\mathcal{O}_s)$. Then there exists $1 \le i' \le k$ and $h \in G_s$ such that $b = g_{i'}^{-1} h g_j$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& b \otimes e(sg_j) \cdot Z(L_t) \\
&= b \otimes e(sg_j) \cdot \sum_{a \in L_t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i^{-1} a g_i \otimes e(sg_i) \\
&= \sum_{a \in L_t} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{sg_i}(b, g_i^{-1} a g_i) b g_i^{-1} a g_i \otimes e(sg_j g_i^{-1} a g_i) e(sg_i) \\
&= \sum_{a \in L_t} \alpha_{sg_j}(b, g_j^{-1} a g_j) b g_j^{-1} a g_j \otimes e(sg_j) \\
&= \sum_{a \in L_t} \alpha_{sg_j}(g_{i'}^{-1} h g_j, g_j^{-1} a g_j) g_{i'}^{-1} h a g_j \otimes e(sg_j)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z(L_t)\cdot b\otimes e(sg_j) \\
&= \sum_{a\in L_t}\sum_{i=1}^{k} g_i^{-1}ag_i\otimes e(sg_i)\cdot b\otimes e(sg_j) \\
&= \sum_{a\in L_t}\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{sg_j}(g_i^{-1}ag_i, b)g_i^{-1}ag_ib\otimes e(sg_ib)e(sg_j) \\
&= \sum_{a\in L_t} \alpha_{sg_j}(g_{i'}^{-1}ag_{i'}, g_{i'}^{-1}hg_j)g_{i'}^{-1}ahg_j\otimes e(sg_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{a\in L_t}\alpha_{sg_j}(g_i^{-1}hg_j, g_j^{-1}ag_j)hah^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{a\in L_t}\alpha_{sg_j}(g_i^{-1}hah^{-1}g_i, g_i^{-1}hg_j)hah^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{a\in L_t}\alpha_{sg_j}(g_i^{-1}ag_i, g_i^{-1}hg_j)a.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9 *Let $\{l_1, ..., l_r\}$ be a full set of representatives for the α_s-regular conjugacy classes of G_s and for each $t\in\{1,...,r\}$, let L_t be a α_s-regular conjugacy class of G_s containing $l_t, 1\le t\le r$. Then the elements $Z(L_t)$ constitute a $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $Z(D(\mathcal{O}_s))$.*

Proof: By Theorem 3.5 the dimension of $Z(D(\mathcal{O}_s))$ equals to the number of inequivalent irreducible $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_s}[G_s]$-modules which is r (see Theorem 2.9). □

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 3.10 *Let $\{l_1^j, ..., l_{r_j}^j\}$ be a full set of representatives for the α_{s_j}-regular conjugacy classes of G_{s_j} and for each $t_j\in\{1,...,r_j\}$, let L_{t_j} be α_{s_j}-regular conjugacy class of G_{s_j} containing $l_{t_j}, 1\le t_j\le r_j$. Set*

$$Z(L_{t_j}) = \sum_{a\in L_{t_j}}\sum_{i_j=1}^{k_j} g_{i_j}^{-1}ag_{i_j}\otimes e(sg_{i_j}).$$

Then for all $j\in J$, for all $t_j\in\{1,...,r_j\}$, $Z(L_{t_j})$ constitutes a $\mathbb{C}$-basis for $Z(A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S}))$.

4 Modules for vertex operator algebras and related results

In this section we turn our attention to the theory of vertex operator algebras. In particular we shall defines various notion of modules for a vertex operator algebra V following

[FLM], [DLM2] and [Z]. We also recall from [DLM4] the associative algebras $A_n(V)$ for any nonnegative integer n and relevant results. These results will be used extensively in Sections 5 and 6 to study dual pairs arising from an action of a finite group G on V.

Let $V = (V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra (see [B] and [FLM]). For a vector space W, let $W\{z\}$ be the space of W-valued formal series in arbitrary complex powers of z. We present three different notion of modules (cf. [FLM], [DLM2] and [Z]).

Definition 4.1 *A* weak V-module M *is a vector space equipped with a linear map*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} V & \to & (\operatorname{End} M)\{z\} \\ v & \mapsto & Y_M(v,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Q}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \operatorname{End} M) \end{array}$$

which satisfies the following properties for all $u \in V$, $v \in V$, $w \in M$,

$$u_l w = 0 \quad for \quad l >> 0 \tag{4.1}$$

$$Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = 1; \tag{4.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(u,z_1)Y_M(v,z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2-z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(v,z_2)Y_M(u,z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2), \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n$ and all binomial expressions (here and below) are to be expanded in nonnegative integral powers of the second variable. Elementary properties of the δ-function can be found in [FLM] and [FHL]

(4.3) is called the *Jacobi identity.* One can prove that the Jacobi identity is equivalent to the following associativity formula (see [FLM] and [FHL])

$$(z_0+z_2)^k Y_M(u, z_0+z_2) Y_M(v,z_2)w = (z_2+z_0)^k Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2)w \tag{4.4}$$

and commutator relation

$$\begin{aligned} &[Y_M(u,z_1), Y_M(v,z_2)] \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_{z_0} z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1-z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u,z_0)v,z_2) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where $w \in M$ and k is a nonnegative integer such that $z^k Y_M(u,z)w$ involves only nonnegative integral powers of z.

We may also deduce from (4.1)-(4.3) the usual Virasoro algebra axioms (see [DLM2]). Namely, if $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ then

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3-m)\delta_{m+n,0}(\operatorname{rank} V) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y_M(v,z) = Y_M(L(-1)v,z). \tag{4.7}$$

Suppose that (M_i, Y_i) are two weak V-modules, i=1,2. A homomorphism from M_1 to M_2 is a linear map $f{:}M_1 \to M_2$ which satisfied $fY_{M_1}(v,z) = Y_{M_2}(v,z)f$ for all $v \in V$. We call f an isomorphism if f is also a linear isomorphism.

Definition 4.2 *A (ordinary)* V-module *is a weak V-module M which carries a $\mathbb{C}$-grading induced by the spectrum of $L(0)$. Then $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$ where $M_\lambda = \{w \in M | L(0)w = \lambda w\}$, $dim M_\lambda < \infty$. Moreover, for fixed λ, $M_{n+\lambda} = 0$ for all small enough integers n.*

The notion of module here is essentially the notion of module given in [FLM].

Definition 4.3 *An* admissible V-module *is a weak V-module M which carries a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ grading $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$ satisfying the following condition:*

$$v_m M(n) \subset M(n + \mathrm{wt} v - m - 1)$$

for homogeneous $v \in V$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is the set of the nonnegative integers.

The notion of admissible module here is the notion of module in [Z]. Using a grading shift we can always arrange the grading on M so that $M(0) \neq 0$. This shift is important in the study of algebra $A_n(V)$ below.

It is not too hard to see that any V-module is an admissible V-module. So there is a natural identification of the category of V-modules with a subcategory of the category of admissible V-modules.

Definition 4.4 *V is called rational if every admissible V-module is a direct sum of irreducible admissible V-modules.*

It is proved in [DLM3] that if V is rational then there are only finitely many inequivalent irreducible admissible V-modules and each irreducible admissible V-module is an ordinary module.

The following proposition can be found in [L] and [DM2].

Proposition 4.5 *If M is a simple weak $V-$module then M is spanned by $\{u_n m | u \in V, n \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ where $m \in M$ is a fixed nonzero vector.*

We now recall the associative algebra $A_n(V)$ as constructed in [DLM4]. Let $O_n(V)$ be the linear span of all $u \circ_n v$ and $L(-1)u + L(0)u$ where for homogeneous $u \in V$ and $v \in V$,

$$u \circ_n v = \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u,z)v \frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}u+n}}{z^{2n+2}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Define the linear space $A_n(V)$ to be the quotient $V/O_n(V)$. We also define a second product $*_n$ on V for u and v as above:

$$u *_n v = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m \binom{m+n}{n} \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u,z) \frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}\, u+n}}{z^{n+m+1}} v. \tag{4.9}$$

Extend linearly to obtain a bilinear product on V.

Let $M = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$ be an admissible V-module. Following [Z] we define weight zero operator $o_M(v) = v_{\mathrm{wt}v-1}$ on M for homogeneous v and extend $o_M(v)$ to all v by linearity. It is clear from the definition that $o_M(v)M(n) \subset M(n)$ for all n.

Theorem 4.6 *Let V be a vertex operator algebra and M an admissible V-module. Then*

1) The product $*_n$ induces the structure of an associative algebra on $A_n(V)$ with identity $\mathbf{1} + O_n(V)$. Moreover $\omega + O_n(V)$ is a central element of $A_n(V)$.

2) For $0 \le m \le n$, the map $\psi_n : v + O_n(V) \mapsto o_M(v)$ from $A_n(V)$ to $\mathrm{End}M(m)$ makes $M(m)$ an $A_n(V)$-module.

3) M is irreducible if and only if $M(n)$ is a simple $A_n(V)$-module for all n.

4) The identity map on V induces an onto algebra homomorphism from $A_n(V)$ to $A_m(V)$ for $0 \le m \le n$.

5) Two irreducible admissible V-modules M^1 and M^2 with $M^1(0) \neq 0$ and $M^2(0) \neq 0$ are isomorphic if and only if $M^1(n)$ and $M^2(n)$ are isomorphic $A_n(V)$-modules for any $n \ge 0$.

Parts 1)-2) and 4)-5) are proved in [DLM4], and 3) is given in [DM4].

5 Dual pair I

In the next two sections we assume that V is a simple vertex operator algebra. Our main goal is to generalize the duality result obtained in [DLM1] on V to an arbitrary irreducible V-module. More precisely, let G be a finite automorphism group of V and M an irreducible V-module. Then M is a module for the vertex operator subalgebra V^G of G-invariants. There are three questions one can ask: (1) Is M is a completely reducible V^G-module? (2) What are irreducible modules for V^G which occur as submodules of M?

(3) What are the relation between irreducible V^G-submodules of M and V^G-submodules of another irreducible V-module N? These questions will be answered completely in this section and the next section.

In this section we deal with the case that M is G-stable (see the definition below). The general case will be treated in the next section. The basis ideas in the proof of main theorems come from [DLM1].

Let (M, Y_M) be an irreducible V-module and $g \in G$. Following [DM1] we set $M \circ g = M$ as vector spaces, and $Y_{M\circ g}(v, z) = Y_M(gv, z)$. Note that $M \circ g$ is also an irreducible V-module. We *assume* in this section that M is *G-stable* in the sense that for any $g \in G$, $M \circ g$ and M are isomorphic. If $M = V$ this assumption is always true.

For $g \in G$ there is a linear isomorphism $\phi(g) : M \to M$ satisfying

$$\phi(g)Y_M(v, z)\phi(g)^{-1} = Y_{M\circ g}(v, z) = Y_M(gv, z) \tag{5.10}$$

for $v \in V$. The simplicity of M together with Schur's lemma shows that $g \mapsto \phi(g)$ is a projective representation of G on M. Let α_M be the corresponding 2-cocycle in $C^2(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. Then M is a module for $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ where $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ is the twisted group algebra. It is worth pointing out that if $M = V$ we can take $\phi(g) = g$ and $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G] = \mathbb{C}[G]$.

Let Λ_{G,α_M} be the set of all irreducible characters λ of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$. We denote the corresponding simple module by W_λ. Again if $M = V$, $\Lambda_{V,\alpha_V} = \hat{G}$ is the set of all irreducible characters of G. Note that M is a semisimple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-module. Let M^λ be the sum of simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-submodules of M isomorphic to W_λ. Then $M = \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}} M^\lambda$. Moreover, $M^\lambda = W_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$ where $M_\lambda = \hom_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]}(W_\lambda, M)$ is the multiplicity of W_λ in M. As in [DLM1], we can, in fact, realize M_λ as a subspace of M in the following way. Let $w \in W_\lambda$ be a fixed nonzero vector. Then we can identify $\hom_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]}(W_\lambda, M)$ with the subspace

$$\{f(w) | f \in \hom_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]}(W_\lambda, M)\}$$

of M^λ.

We also set $V^G = \{v \in V | gv = v, g \in G\}$. Then V^G is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of V (see [DM2]) and $\phi(g)Y_M(v, z)\phi(g)^{-1} = Y_M(gv, z) = Y_M(v, z)$ for all $v \in V^G$. Thus the actions of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ and V^G on M are commutative. This shows that both M^λ and M_λ are ordinary V^G-modules.

Lemma 5.1 *If $M_\lambda \neq 0$ then M_λ is an irreducible V^G-module.*

Proof: By Theorem 4.6 3) it is enough to prove that $M_\lambda(n) = M_\lambda \cap M(n)$ is a simple $A_n(V^G)$-module for all $n \geq 0$. It is equivalent to show that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 0$ $M_\lambda(n)$ is generated by any nonzero vector in $M_\lambda(n)$ as an $A_n(V^G)$-module.

Note from the definition of $A_n(V)$ that $A_n(V)$ is a G-module via $g(v+O_n(V)) = gv+O_n(V)$ for $g\in G$ and $v\in V$. Also $\mathrm{End}M(n)$ is an G-module via $gf=\phi(g)f\phi(g)^{-1}$ for $g\in G$ and $f\in \mathrm{End}M(n)$. Then the algebra homomorphism $v+O_n(V)\mapsto o_M(v)$ from $A_n(V)$ to $\mathrm{End}M(n)$ is a G-homomorphism as $\phi(g)o_M(v)\phi(g)^{-1}=o_M(gv)$. From Proposition 4.5 and Theorem 4.6 3) we see that $\mathrm{End}M(n)=\{o_M(v)|v\in V\}$. Since G is a finite group we immediately have $\psi(A_n(V)^G)=(\mathrm{End}M(n))^G=\{o_M(v)|v\in V^G\}$.

Let $x,y\in M_\lambda(n)$ be linearly independent. Since $M(n)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-module, we can write $M(n)$ as a direct sum $W_\lambda\otimes x\oplus W_\lambda\otimes y\oplus W$ where W is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-submodule of $M(n)$. Define a map $\beta\in \mathrm{End}M(n)$ such that $\beta(u\otimes x+v\otimes y+w)=v\otimes x+u\otimes y+w$ for $u,v\in W_\lambda$ and $w\in W$. Then $\beta\in(\mathrm{End}M(n))^G$. Thus there exists vectors $v\in V^G$ such that $o_M(v)x=y$. This implies that $M_\lambda(n)$ is an simple $A_n(V)^G$-module. Since $M_\lambda(n)$ is also an $A_n(V^G)$-module with the same action, we see that $M_\lambda(n)$ is a simple $A_n(V^G)$-module. This can be also explained by noting that the identity on V^G induces an onto algebra homomorphism from $A_n(V^G)$ to $A_n(V)^G$. $\square$

Remark 5.2 If we take $M=V$ in Lemma 5.1, then each V_λ is an irreducible V^G-module for any irreducible character λ of G. This is the part (1) of Theorem 2.4 in [DLM1], where Lemma 2.2 of [DLM1] is used. It is pointed out to us by Wassermann that there is a gap in Lemma 2.2 of [DLM1]. The special case of Lemma 5.1 now fixes the problem in [DLM1]. (The group G in [DLM1] can be a compact group. But the correction present here works for such G.) The proofs for parts (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.4 in [DLM1] are correct.

For the purpose of continuous discussion we now recall Theorem 2.4 from [DLM1] (also see Remark 5.2).

Theorem 5.3 *Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra and G a finite automorphism group. Then*

1) V^λ is nonzero for any $\lambda\in\hat{G}$.

2) Each V_λ is an irreducible V^G-module.

3) V_λ and V_μ are equivalent V^G-module if and only if $\lambda=\mu$.

The main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 5.4 *With the same notation as above we have:*

1) M^λ is nonzero for any $\lambda\in\Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}$.

2) Each M_λ is an irreducible V^G-module.

3) M_λ and M_γ are equivalent V^G-module if and only if $\lambda=\gamma$.

That is, V^G and $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ form a dual pair on M in the sense of Howe (see [H1] and [H2]).

Let $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ and $\mu \in \Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}$ such that $M^\mu \neq 0$. By Theorem 5.3 we can regard W_γ and W_μ as a $\mathbb{C}[G]$-submodule of V and a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-submodule of M, respectively. In fact, we can assume that W_γ and W_μ are homogeneous subspaces of V and M, respectively. Following [DM3] we define a subspace Z_s of M for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$Z_s = \{\sum_{m\geq s} u_m w | u \in W_\gamma, w \in W_\mu\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that Z_s is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-submodule of M. We also define a map

$$\psi_s : W_\gamma \otimes W_\mu \to Z_s$$

such that $\psi_s(u \otimes w) = \sum_{m\geq s} u_m w$. Recall twisted group algebra from Section 2. In particular, $\{\bar{g}|g \in G\}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$. Then $W_\gamma \otimes W_\mu$ is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-module with $\bar{g}$ acting as $g \otimes \phi(g)$ and ψ_s is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-module homomorphism.

Lemma 5.5 *For all sufficiently small s, ψ_s is a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-module isomorphism.*

This result in the case $M = V$ is proved in [DM2] and [DM3]. The proof is similar in the general case. Here we give an outline of the proof and we refer the reader to [DM2] and [DM3] for the details. From the associativity formula (4.4) and commutator formula (4.5) we can prove the following fact. Let $u^i \in V$ for $i = 1, ..., n$ and $w^1, ..., w^n \in M$ be linearly independent. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n Y_M(u^i, z)w^i \neq 0$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [DM2]; also see Proposition 11.9 of [DL]). Then following the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [DM3] for the case $M = V$ gives the result.

We now prove Theorem 5.4. Part 2) is Lemma 5.1. In order to prove 1) we first note that there exists $\mu \in \Lambda_{M,\alpha_M}$ such that $M^\mu \neq 0$. Let μ^* be the character of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M^{-1}}[G]$ dual to μ. That is, the corresponding $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M^{-1}}[G]$-module is exactly $W_\lambda^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$. Then $\mu^* \otimes \lambda$ is a character of G for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}$. Let γ be an irreducible character of G such that $\gamma \in \mu^* \otimes \lambda$. Thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]}(\lambda, \mu \otimes \gamma) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}[G]}(\mu^* \otimes \lambda, \gamma) \neq 0.$$

By Lemma 5.5, for small enough s, the submodule Z_s of M contains a submodule isomorphic to W_λ. That is, $M^\lambda \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}$.

Finally we prove 3). Let $\lambda, \gamma \in \Lambda_{G,\alpha_M}$ are different. We can take $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $M^\lambda(n) = M^\lambda \cap M(n) \neq 0$. Then $M(n)$ is a direct sum of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$-modules

$$M(n) = M^\lambda(n) \oplus W$$

for some suitable $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G]$ submodule W of $M(n)$.

Define $\beta \in \mathrm{End}M(n)$ such that it is the identity on $M^\lambda(n)$ and zero on W. Then $\beta \in (\mathrm{End}M(n))^G$. From the proof of lemma 5.1 there exists $v \in V^G$ such that $o_M(v) = \beta$ is the identity on $M^\lambda(n)$ and zero on W. Thus, there is no $A_n(V^G)$-module homomorphism between $M_\lambda(n)$ and $M_\gamma(n)$. That is, $M_\lambda(n)$ and $M_\gamma(n)$ are inequivalent $A_n(V^G)$-modules.

Since M is irreducible V-module there exists $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M = \sum_{n\geq 0} M_{c+n}$ with $M_c \neq 0$ where M_{c+n} is the eigenspace for $L(0)$ with eigenvalue $c+n$ (see Definition 4.2). Thus we can take $M(n) = M_{c+n}$. If $\dim(M_\lambda)_{c+m} \neq \dim(M_\gamma)_{c+m}$ it is clear that M_λ and M_γ are nonisomorphic V^G-module. Otherwise by Theorem 4.6, M_λ is not isomorphic to M_γ as V^G-modules. □

6 Dual pair II

Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra as in the last section and G finite automorphism group of V. Let M be an irreducible V-module. But we do not assume that M is G-stable. We set

$$G_M = \{g \in G | M \circ h \cong M\}$$

which is a subgroup of G. Recall Theorem 5.4. One of the main results in this section is the following result of Schur-Weyl type:

Theorem 6.1 *With the same notation as above we have:*

1) M^λ is nonzero for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_M,\alpha_M}$.

2) Each M_λ is an irreducible V^G-module.

3) M_λ and M_γ are equivalent V^G-module if and only if $\lambda = \gamma$.

That is, V^G and $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_M}[G_M]$ form a dual pair on M.

This result generalizes Theorem 2.4 of [DLM1] (a case $M = V$) and sharpens Theorem 5.4. In particular, the result shows that M is a completely reducible V^G-module.

In order to prove Theorem 6.1 we need a general setting and we will prove a stronger result.

Definition 6.2 *A set $\mathcal{S}$ of irreducible V-modules is called* stable *if for any $M \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \in G$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $M \circ g \cong N$.*

Assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite set of inequivalent irreducible V-module which is G-stable. Since $M \circ (g_1 g_2)$ and $(M \circ g_1) \circ g_2$ are isomorphic V-module for $g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $M \in \mathcal{S}$ we can define an right action of G on $\mathcal{S}$. Let $M \in \mathcal{S}$ and $g \in G$ we define $M \cdot g = N$ if $M \circ g \cong N$. It is clear that this action makes $\mathcal{S}$ a right G-set.

Remark 6.3 1) For any irreducible V-module M consider the coset decomposition $G = \cup_{i=1}^{k} G_M g_i$. Then $\mathcal{S} = \{M \circ g_i | i = 1, ..., k\}$ is a such right G-set which will be used to prove Theorem 6.1.

2) If V is rational, a complete list of inequivalent irreducible V-modules forms a such right G-set.

Let $M \in \mathcal{S}$ and $x \in G$. Then there exists $N \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $N \cong M \circ x$. That is, there is a linear map $\phi_N(x) : N \to M$ satisfying the condition: $\phi_N(x) Y_N(v,z) \phi_N(x)^{-1} = Y_M(xv, z)$. By simplicity of N, there exists $\alpha_N(y,x) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\phi_M(y)\phi_N(x) = \alpha_N(y,x)\phi_N(yx)$. Moreover, for $x, y, z \in G$ we have

$$\alpha_N(z, yx)\alpha_N(y,x) = \alpha_M(z,y)\alpha_N(zy, x).$$

As in Section 3 we set $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{C}e(M)$ and $e(M)e(N) = \delta_{M,N} e(M)$. Let $U(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}) = \{\sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M) | \lambda_M \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ and $\alpha(h,k) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_M(h,k) e(M)$. It is easy to check that $\alpha(hk, l)\alpha(h,k)^l = \alpha(h, kl)\alpha(k,l)$. So $\alpha \in Z^2(G, U(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}))$ is a 2-cocycle. Following Section 3, we construct an associative algebra $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ with multiplication defined by

$$a \otimes e(M) \cdot b \otimes e(N) = \alpha_N(a,b) ab \otimes e(M \cdot b) e(N)$$

for $a, b \in G$ and $M, N \in \mathcal{S}$.

We define an action of $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ on $\oplus_{N \in \mathcal{S}} N$ in the following way: for $M, N \in \mathcal{S}$ and $w \in N$ we set

$$a \otimes e(M) \cdot w = \delta_{M,N} \phi_M(a) w$$

where $\phi_N(a) : N \to N \cdot a^{-1}$.

For $N \in \mathcal{S}$ we let $\mathcal{O}_N = \{N \cdot g | g \in G\}$ be the orbit of N under G.

Lemma 6.4 *With the action defined above, $\oplus_{N \in \mathcal{S}} N$ becomes a module for $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$. Moreover $\oplus_{M \in \mathcal{O}_N} M$ is a submodule for any N.*

Proof: The proof is a straightforward computation: for $a, b \in G$ and $M, N \in \mathcal{S}$ and $m \in N$,

$$(b \otimes e(L) \cdot a \otimes e(M)) \cdot m$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (\delta_{L\cdot a,M}\alpha_M(b,a)ba \otimes e(M)) \cdot m \\
&= \delta_{L\cdot a,M}\delta_{M,N}\alpha_M(b,a)\phi_M(ba)m \\
&= \delta_{L\cdot a,M}\delta_{M,N}\phi_{M\cdot a^{-1}}(b)\phi_M(a)m
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&b \otimes e(L) \cdot (a \otimes e(M) \cdot m) \\
&= b \otimes e(L) \cdot (\delta_{M,N}\phi_M(a)m) \\
&= \delta_{L,M\cdot a^{-1}}\delta_{M,N}\phi_L(b)\phi_M(a)m \\
&= \delta_{L,M\cdot a^{-1}}\delta_{M,N}\phi_{M\cdot a^{-1}}(b)\phi_M(a)m.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}} M$ is $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-module. It is clear that $\oplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N} M$ is a submodule. □

Let $M \in \mathcal{S}$. Recall from Section 3 that $D(M) = \langle a \otimes e(M) | a \in G\rangle$ and $S(M) = \langle a \otimes e(M) | a \in G_M\rangle$.

Proposition 6.5 *Let $N \in \mathcal{S}$. Then N is a $S(N)$-module and there is an $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-modules isomorphism between $D(N) \otimes_{S(N)} N$ and $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N} M$ determined by $\Psi : a \otimes e(N) \otimes m \mapsto \phi_N(a)m$.*

Proof: To prove N is a $S(N)$-module, it is enough to prove that N is $S(N)$-stable. But this clear as $a \otimes e(N)w = \phi_N(a)w \in N$ for $a \in G_N$ and $w \in N$.

Next we prove that Ψ is well defined. We must show that $\Psi(d_{a,N}d_{b,N} \otimes w) = \Psi(d_{a,N} \otimes d_{b,N}w)$ for $w \in N$, $a \in G, b \in G_N$ where $d_{a,N} = a \otimes e(N)$. This is clear as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(d_{a,N}d_{b,N} \otimes w) \\
&= \alpha_N(a,b)\Psi(d_{ab,N} \otimes w) \\
&= \alpha_N(a,b)\phi_N(ab)w \\
&= \phi_N(a)\phi_N(b)w \\
&= \phi_N(a)d_{b,N}w \\
&= \Psi(d_{a,N} \otimes d_{b,N}w).
\end{aligned}$$

The fact that Ψ is a module homomorphism follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi(d_{b,M} \cdot d_{a,N} \otimes w) \\
&= \Psi(\delta_{M\cdot a,N}\alpha_N(b,a)d_{ba,N} \otimes w) \\
&= \delta_{M\cdot a,N}\alpha_N(b,a)\phi_N(ba)w) \\
&= \delta_{M\cdot a,N}\phi_{N\cdot a^{-1}}(b)\phi_N(a)w
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{b,M}\Psi(d_{a,N}\otimes w) &= d_{b,M}\cdot\phi_N(a)w \\ &= \delta_{M,N\cdot a^{-1}}\phi_{N\cdot a^{-1}}(b)\phi_N(a)w \end{aligned}$$

where $a, b\in G$.

In order to show that Ψ is a bijection we construct an inverse of Ψ.

Suppose that $G=\bigcup_{i_N=1}^{k_N} G_N g_{i_N}$ is a coset decomposition. Then

$$\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N} M = \bigoplus_{i_N=1}^{k_N}\phi_N(g_{i_N}^{-1})N.$$

Define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N} M & \xrightarrow{\chi} & D(N)\bigotimes_{S(N)} N \\ \sum_{i_N=1}^{k_N}\phi_N(g_{i_N}^{-1})m_{i_N} & \mapsto & \sum_{i_N=1}^{k_N} d_{g_{i_N}^{-1},N}\otimes m_{i_N}. \end{array}$$

It is straightforward to show that $\Psi\circ\chi = Id_{\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N}M}$ and $\chi\circ\Psi = Id_{D(N)\otimes_{S(N)}N}$. So, $D(N)\bigotimes_{S(N)} N$ and $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}_N} M$ are isomorphic as $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{M})$-modules. $\square$

Set

$$\mathcal{M}=\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}} M.$$

Proposition 6.6 *1) Every simple $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-module occurs as a submodule of $\mathcal{M}$.*

2) The actions of $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{M})$ and V^G on $\mathcal{M}$ commute.

Proof: Part 1) follows from Theorems 3.6, 5.4 and a natural identification of $S(N)$ with $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_N}[G_N]$ for $N\in\mathcal{S}$. Part 2) follows from the relation

$$a\otimes e(M)\bar{Y}(v,z)=\bar{Y}(av,z)a\otimes e(M)$$

on $\mathcal{M}$ for $a\in G$, $M\in\mathcal{S}$ and $v\in V$ where we have used $\bar{Y}(v,z)$ denote the operator on $\mathcal{M}$ which acts on M by $Y_M(v,z)$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7 *Set $B=G\times U(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})$. Then B is a group under multiplication defined by*

$$(y,v)(x,u)=(yx,\alpha(y,x)v^x u)$$

This result is standard as α is a 2-cocycle.

Lemma 6.8 *$\mathcal{M}$ is a B-module via* $(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M)) = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \phi_M(x)\lambda_M$.

This result is immediate by noting that the subset $B' = \{a \otimes u | a \in G, u \in U(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})\}$ of $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is a multiplicative group isomorphic to B and the action of B on $\mathcal{M}$ is exactly the action of B' on $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 6.9 *For any $(x, u) \in B$, $v \in V$, we have*

$$(x, u)\bar{Y}(v, z)(x, u)^{-1} = \bar{Y}(xv, z)$$

on $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof: Let $b = (x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M))) \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} b\bar{Y}(v, z) &= (x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M)))\bar{Y}(v, z) \\ &= \bar{Y}(xv, z)(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M))) \end{aligned}$$

(see the proof of Proposition 6.6 2)) as required. $\square$

Lemma 6.10 $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \operatorname{End}(M)$ *is a B-module via*

$$(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M)) \cdot \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} f_M = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \phi_M(x) f_M \phi_M(x)^{-1}.$$

Furthermore, for each orbit $\mathcal{O}$ and $n \geq 0$, $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}} \operatorname{End}(M(n))$ is a B-submodule. In particular, $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{O}} \operatorname{End}(M(n))$ is a G-module.

Proof: Let $(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M))$, $(y, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \beta_M e(M)) \in B$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} &[(y, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \beta_M e(M))(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \lambda_M e(M))] \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} f_M \\ &= (yx, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \beta_{M\cdot x^{-1}} \lambda_M \alpha_M(y, x) e(M)) \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} f_M \\ &= \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \phi_M(yx) f_M \phi_M(yx)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} \phi_{M\cdot x^{-1}}(y)\phi_M(x) f_M \phi_M(x)^{-1}\phi_{M\cdot x^{-1}}(y)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(y, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\beta_M e(M))[(x, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\lambda_M e(M))\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} f_M]\\
&(y, \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\beta_M e(M))\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\phi_M(x)f_M\phi_M(x)^{-1}\\
&=\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\phi_{M\cdot x^{-1}}(y)\phi_M(x)f_M\phi_M(x)^{-1}\phi_{M\cdot x^{-1}}(y)^{-1},
\end{aligned}$$

$\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{End}(M)$ is a B-module. The other assertions in the lemma are clear. □

Remark 6.11 It is worth to point out that $\phi_M(x)f_M\phi_M(x)^{-1}$ does not lie in $\mathrm{End}M$ for $f_M\in\mathrm{End}M$ and $x\in G$ unless $x\in G_M$. In general, $\phi_M(x)f_M\phi_M(x)^{-1}$ is an element of $\mathrm{End}(M\cdot x^{-1})$.

For any nonnegative $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, let σ_n be a map from $A_n(V)$ to $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\sum_{0\le m\le n}\mathrm{End}M(m)$ defined by $\sigma_n(v+O_n(V))=\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}o_M(v)$.

Lemma 6.12 *The map σ_n is a G-module epimorphism. In particular, $\sigma(A_n(V)^G)=(\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S},0\le m\le n}\mathrm{End}M(m))^G$*

Proof: Let $g\in G$ and $v\in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n(g(v+O_n(v))) &= \sigma_n(gv+O_n(V))\\
&=\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}o_M(gv)\\
&=\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\phi_M(g)o_M(v)\phi_M(g)^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is, σ_n is a G-homomorphism.

In order to see that σ_n is onto we note that all $M\in\mathcal{S}$ are inequivalent. We assume that $M(0)\neq 0$ for all $M\in\mathcal{S}$. By Theorem 4.6, $\{M(m)|M\in\mathcal{S}, 0\le m\le n\}$ is a set of finite dimensional inequivalent $A_n(V)$-modules. Let $K_{M(m)}$ be the kernel of $A_n(V)$ on $M(m)$. Then $A_n(V)/K_{M(m)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{End}M(m)$ and $A_n(V)/K_n$ is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\bigoplus_{0\le m\le n}\mathrm{End}M(m)$ where $K_n=\cap_{M,m}K_{M(m)}$. Thus σ_n is onto. □

Now we are in the position to state and to prove the main result in this paper. Let $\mathcal{S}=\cup_{j\in J}\mathcal{O}_j$ be an orbit decomposition and fix $M^j\in\mathcal{O}_j$. For convenience, we set $G_j=G_{M^j}$ and $\Lambda_j=\Lambda_{M^j,\alpha_{M^j}}$. Then by Theorem 5.4 we have a decomposition

$$M^j=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_j}W_\lambda\otimes M^j_\lambda$$

where M_λ^j is an irreducible V^{G_j}-module. Thanks to Propositions 6.5 and 6.6 we have

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j\in J}\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_j}(\mathrm{Ind}_{S(M^j)}^{D(M^j)}W_\lambda)\otimes M_\lambda^j$$

as a $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})\otimes V^G$-module. For $j\in J$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda_j$ we set $W_{j,\lambda}=\mathrm{Ind}_{S(M^j)}^{D(M^j)}W_\lambda$. Then by Theorems 3.6, $W_{j,\lambda}$ forms a complete list of simple $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-modules.

Theorem 6.13 *As a $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})\otimes V^G$-module,*

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{j\in J,\lambda\in\Lambda_j} W_{j,\lambda}\otimes M_\lambda^j.$$

Moreover,

1) Each M_λ^j is a nonzero irreducible V^G-module.

2) $M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}$ and $M_{\lambda_2}^{j_2}$ are isomorphic V^G-module if and only if $j_1=j_2$ and $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$.

That is, $(A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S}),V^G)$ forms a dual pair on $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof: 1) We have already seen from Theorem 5.4 that each M_λ^j is nonzero. Again by Theorem 4.6 3) we only need to show that each $M_\lambda^j(n)=M^j(n)\cap M_\lambda^j$ is a simple $A_n(V^G)$-module.

We now fix j. Suppose $G=\bigcup_{i=1}^k G_j g_i$ be the coset decomposition such that $g_1=1$. For each $v\in V^{G_j}$ we set $f^v\in\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{End}M(n)$ such that f^v acts on $M^j\cdot g_i^{-1}$ as

$$\phi_{M^j}(g_i)o_{M^j}(v)\phi_{M^j}(g_i)^{-1}=o_{M^j\cdot g_i^{-1}}(g_iv)$$

for $i=1,...,k$, acts on any other $M(n)$ as zero. Then it is clear from Lemma 6.10 that $f^v\in(\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}}\mathrm{End}M(n))^G$. By Lemma 6.12 there exists $u\in V^G$ such that $f^u=f^v$. This shows that for any $v\in V^{G_j}$ there exists $u\in V^G$ such that $o_{M^j}(v)=o_{M^j}(u)$ on $M^j(n)$. Since $M_\lambda^j(n)$ is a simple module for $A_n(V^{G_j})$ by Theorems 4.6 and 5.4 we see immediately that $M_\lambda^j(n)$ is a simple $A_n(V^G)$-module.

2) We take $(j_1,\lambda_1)\neq(j_2,\lambda_2)$. We must to prove that $M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}$ and $M_{\lambda_2}^{j_2}$ are inequivalent. Let $n\geq 0$ such that both $M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}(n)$ and $M_{\lambda_2}^{j_2}(n)$ are nonzero. Then

$$\sum_{0\leq m\leq n}\mathcal{M}(m)=\sum_{0\leq m\leq n}W_{j_1,\lambda_1}\otimes M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}(m)\oplus W$$

for a suitable $A_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-module W. Define f in $\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S},0\leq m\leq n}\mathrm{End}M(m)$ such that $f=1$ on $\sum_{0\leq m\leq n}W_{j_1,\lambda_1}\otimes M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}(m)$ and $f=0$ on W. Again it is obvious that

$$f\in(\sum_{M\in\mathcal{S},0\leq m\leq n}\mathrm{End}M(m))^G.$$

Using Lemma 6.12 we find $v \in V^G$ such that $\sigma_n(v) = f$. That is $o_{M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}}(v) = 1$ on $\sum_{0\leq m\leq n} M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(m)$ and $o_{M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}}(v) = 0$ on $\sum_{0\leq m\leq n} M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(m)$. That is, $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(s)$ and $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(t)$ are nonisomorphic $A_n(V^G)$-modules for $0 \leq s, t \leq n$ if either $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(s)$ or $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(t)$ is nonzero. In particular, $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(s_0)$ or $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(t_0)$ are nonisomorphic $A_n(V^G)$-modules where $s_0, t_0 \geq 0$ such that $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(s) = 0$ and $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(t) = 0$ for all $s \leq s_0$ and $t \leq t_0$. Our choices of s_0 and t_0 then assert that $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}(s_0)$ or $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}(t_0)$ are, in fact, inequivalent $A_0(V^G)$-modules. Thus by Theorem 4.6 $M^{j_1}_{\lambda_1}$ and $M^{j_2}_{\lambda_2}$ are inequivalent V^G-modules. □

Now Theorem 6.1 follows from Theorem 6.13 immediately by taking the right G-set $\mathcal{S}$ to be the G-orbit $\{M \circ g_i | i = 1, ..., l\}$ where $G = \cup_{i=1}^{l} G_M g_i$ is the coset decomposition of G with respect to the stabilizer $G_M = \{g \in G | M \circ g \cong M\}$.

We end this paper with the following general discussion: If V has only finitely many inequivalent irreducible modules (this is the case when V is rational; see the discussion after Definition 4.4), then a complete list of irreducible V-modules is a right G-set. Theorem 6.13 then tells us not only $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{M\in\mathcal{S}} M$ is completely reducible but also gives an equivalence between $A_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$-module category and a subcategory of admissible V^G-modules generated by the irreducible submodules occurring in $\mathcal{M}$ by sending $W_{j,\lambda}$ to M^j_λ. We expect to use this result to determine the module category for V^G when V is rational in the future.

References

[BCM] R. Blattner, M. Cohen and S. Montgomery, Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298** (1986), 671–711.

[B] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[DPR] R. Dijkgraaf, V. Pasquier and P. Roche, Quasi-quantum groups related to orbifold models, Ed. by M. Carfora, M. Martellini, A Marguolis, World Scientific, 1992, 75-89.

[DVVV] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 485-526.

[DT] Y. Doi and M. Takeuchi, Cleft comodule algebras for a bialgebra *Comm. Algebra* **14** (1986), 801–817.

[DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, Progress in Math., **Vol. 112**, Birkhauser, Boston, 1993.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Compact Automorphism Groups of Vertex Operator Algebras, *International Math. Research Notices* **18** (1996), 913-921.

[DLM2] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Regularity of rational vertex operator algebras, *Advances. in Math.* **132** (1997), 148-166

[DLM3] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.

[DLM4] C.Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Vertex Operator Algebras and Associative Algebras, *J. of Algebra* **206** (1998), 67-96.

[DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, Nonabelian Orbifolds and the Boson-Fermion Correspondence, *Comm. Math. Phys* **163** (1994), 523-559.

[DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, *Duke Math. J.* **86** (1997), 305-321.

[DM3] C. Dong and G.Mason, Quantum Galois theory for compact Lie groups, *J. Algebra* **214** (1999), 92-102.

[DM4] C. Dong and G. Mason, Radical of a vertex operator algebra associated to a module, math.QA/9904155.

[DM5] C. Dong and G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras and their automorphism groups, In: Proceedings of International Conference on Representation Theory (Shanghai, 1998), China Higher Education Press and Springer-Verlag, to appear.

[D] V. Drinfeld, Quantum groups, in Proc. of the ICM, Berkeley, AMS (1986), 798-820.

[FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., **Vol. 134**, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.

[H1] R. Howe, Remarks on classical invariant theory, *Trans. AMS* **313** (1989), 539-570.

[H2] R. Howe, Perspectives on invariant theory, *The Schur Lectures* (1992), Israel Math. Conf. Proceedings, 1-178.

[K] G. Karpilovsky, Group Representations Vol. 2 Mathematics studies **Vol. 177**, North-Holland, 1993.

[L] H. Li, An approach to tensor product theory for representations of a vertex operator algebra, *Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University*, 1994.

[M] G. Mason, The quantum double of a finite group and its role in conformal field theory *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note ser.* **212** (1995), 405-417.

[S] M. Sweedler, Cohomology of algebras over Hopf algebras *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (1968) 205–239.

[Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer, Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.